

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,015	01/11/2002	Isabelle Boeye	24069B	9093
22889	7590 08/27/2004		EXAMINER	
OWENS CORNING 2790 COLUMBUS ROAD			SORKIN, DAVID L	
	E, OH 43023		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	
	10/044,015	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	Examiner	BOEYE, ISABELLE	
	David L. Sorkin	Art Unit	/
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1	<u></u>
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	TION FOR ALLOW cation. A proper rejich places the appli	ANCE.
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) L The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The did have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext	tension fee under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the pFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in of the appeal.	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t		• •	
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: see attached Detailed Action.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered :	and an

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit: 1723

10. Other: ____

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23 and 24.

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 11-22.

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The proposed amendment would cause claim 20 to depend from a canceled claim.
- 2. Though in proposed claim 9 the initial recitation of "second tubular chamber" is deleted, numerous recitations of "said second chamber" and "said second tubular chamber" persist, for which there would be insufficient antecedent basis. It must be made clear if the "hydrolyzer chamber" is or is not required to be "tubular".
- 3. In proposed claim 9, the new phrase "and in introduction..." is confusing.
- 4. The proposed amendment of claim 9, would cause claim 12 to be indefinite in that it would be unclear if "said flow regulator" refers to the flow regulator recited in the new portion of claim 9 or the flow regulator recited in claim 11. Likewise, in claim 14 it would be unclear which flow regulator "said flow regulator" denotes. Likewise, in claim 15, it would be unclear which cylindrical ring "said cylindrical ring" denotes.
- 5. If proposed claim 9 were entered, it appears claim 17 would duplicate claim 9.
- 6. The objection set forth in the third paragraph of the final rejection has not been addressed.
- 7. In the proposed claims, contrary to 37 CFR 1.121(c), claim 21, labeled "(Previously Presented)" includes marked-up text (double bracketing in the last line of the claim).
- 8. Changing "means" to - mixing element - in claim 9 would cause the claims to no longer invoke section 112, sixth paragraph in this aspect. Thusly, mixing elements not described in the specification and which are not equivalent of those described in the

Application/Control Number: 10/044,015

Art Unit: 1723

specification would be within the scope of the limitation. As the claims would be broader in this regard, further search and consideration is necessitated.

- 9. Applicant's reference in applicant's remarks to "allowable claim 19" solely reflects applicant's opinion and is not consistent with a USPTO opinion. The record reflects that claim 19 is a finally rejected claim. While the office action mailed 17 September 2003 (not the final rejection) indicated a claim 19 to be directed to allowable subject matter, the proposed claims do not include all the limitations of the indicated allowable subject matter. For example, the second tubular chamber is no longer required. Furthermore, the version of claim 19 which was indicated to be directed to allowable subject matter included the phrase "and in flow communication with both said second and said third chambers to permit", which the proposed claims omit.
- 10. It is noted for the record that in the amendment filed 30 April 2004 a substantial portion of claim 19 was deleted without the marking-up required by 37 CFR 1.121(c), subsequent to the claim being indicated as directed to allowable subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sorkin

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit 1723